

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,175	08/18/2003	Steven E. Millman	YOR920030322US1 (8728-640	3640 .
	7590 05/31/2007 SSOCIATES, LLC		EXAMINER	
130 WOODBU	RY ROAD		TUCKER, WESLEY J	
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/643,175	MILLMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wes Tucker	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 l	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2007</u> .				
•	· <del></del>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13,15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 14 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail   5) Notice of Informal   6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed February 6<sup>th</sup> 2007 has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Applicant has amended claims 1, 9, 17 and 18. Claims 1-20 remain pending.
- 3. Applicant's remarks in view of the newly amended claims have been fully considered. The amendments have necessitated a new rejection as they change the scope of the claims. A new rejection is accordingly presented below. The rejection is necessitated by the amendments and is accordingly made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-13, 15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Karaki et al.

With regard to claim 1, Karaki discloses a method for improving the legibility of an application written for a lower pixel density monitor and displayed on a higher pixel density monitor, wherein the screen resolution of the higher pixel density monitor is set to a native resolution (column 2, lines 20-40), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a first input signal from a user, the first input signal comprising a user request to change the screen resolution (column 7, lines 54-62 and column 11, lines 52-67 and Fig. 13A);

saving original coordinates of a foreground window in response to the first input signal (column 8, lines 1-35 and Fig. 13A, elements S220 and S320); and

programmatically changing the screen resolution in one atomic step from the native resolution to a lower resolution in response to the first input signal (column 12, lines 39-49);

wherein the native resolution is at least 33% greater in pixel density than the lower resolution (column 9, lines 1-8);

Karaki discloses a resolution switching method and system that changes resolution in response to a hot key sequence. The system is designed to be able to toggle back and forth between resolutions (see Fig. 13A) according to what programs are opens and what resolutions they were designed for. This toggle effect makes it inherent that the coordinates of a foreground window are stored. As seen in Fig. 11B, the 640x400 window in toggled from the foreground to the full screen size and when the hot key is hit it will be toggled back exactly where it was in the high resolution native screen format, hence the storing of contents in VRAM shown in Fig. 13A.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,175

Art Unit: 2624

Karaki discloses a method of switching resolutions and explicitly teaches switching between the resolutions 640x480 and 1024x768. The change in pixel density is much more than 33%.

With regard to claim 2, Karaki discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

receiving a second input signal from a user; and

programmatically changing the screen resolution in one atomic step from the lower resolution to the native resolution in response to the second input signal (column 10, lines 25-37 and Fig. 13A);

As disclosed in Karaki, the use of the hot key is intended as a toggle switch to change back and forth between resolutions according to need, therefore it goes both ways. See the decision tree of Fig. 13A.

With regard to claim 3, Karaki discloses the method of claim 1, wherein receiving a first user input signal from a user comprises monitoring a keyboard input queue (Fig. 13A, hot key interrupt).

With regard to claim 4, Karaki discloses the method of claim 3, wherein monitoring a key board input queue comprises:

Determining whether keyboard input queue contains the first input signal; and

Removing the first input signal from the keyboard input queue in response to the first input signal (Fig. 13A, hot key interrupt).

With regard to claim 5, Karaki discloses the method of claim 2, wherein receiving a second input signal from a user comprises the step of monitoring a keyboard input queue (Fig. 13A, hot key interrupt). The keyboard queue will receive the hot key input toggling the resolution in both directions hi to low and lo to hi.

With regard to claim 6, Karaki discloses the method of claim 5, wherein monitoring a keyboard input queue comprises:

Determining whether the keyboard input queue contains the second input signal; and

Removing the first input signal from the keyboard input queue in response to the second input signal (Fig. 13A, S210 and column 10, lines 25-35).

The step of changing the queue here is interpreted as the changing of the value of whether the display is to be changed. Karaki also discloses that the hot keys can be different keys fro each resolution. This would obviously involve removing one hot key signal from the queue whenever the next different hot key is input to the queue.

With regard to claim 7, Karaki discloses hot keys (column 10, lines 25-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,175

Art Unit: 2624

With regard to claim 8, Karaki discloses a toggle hot key that switches back and forth between resolutions (column 10, lines 25-35).

With regard to claim 9, Karaki discloses moving the foreground window to a screen origin in response to the first signal (Figs. 14A and 14B and column 10, lines 1-10). Here the foreground window of lower resolution is used to fill the whole screen when the resolution is changed moving the foreground window to the screen origin.

With regard to claim 10, Karaki discloses method of claim 9, further comprising the steps of:

moving the foreground window to the position specified by the original coordinates in response to the second signal (Column 10, lines 25-35); and discarding the original coordinates (Figs. 14A-14C).

Karaki's system is designed to be able to toggle back and forth between resolutions (see Fig. 13A) according to what programs are opens and what resolutions they were designed for. This toggle effect makes it inherent that the coordinates of a foreground window are stored. Thus when the image is switched back from Fig. 14B to 14A to move the window back to its original position. The coordinates are replaced when the image is moved back. See Fig. 13A.

With regard to claim 11, the discussions of claims 9 and 10 apply. The steps of: moving a foreground window to a screen origin in response to the first signal; saving

original coordinates of the foreground window in response to the first signal; and storing a unique identifier of the foreground window is interpreted as maximizing the window to fill the entire display screen as displayed in Figs. 14A-14B. The positions of the window are toggled along with resolution. The unique identifier is the stored image data that allows the window to be toggled back to its original position.

With regard to claim 12, the discussions of claim 9, 10 and 11 apply. The steps of: moving the foreground window identified by the unique identifier to the position specified by the original coordinates in response to the second signal; and discarding the original coordinates and the unique identifier is interpreted as another toggle.

With regard to claim 13, Karaki discloses the method of claim 1, wherein programmatically changing the screen resolution in one atomic step from the native resolution to a lower resolution in response to the first input signal comprises: determining if the native resolution is a first resolution; and if the native resolution is the first resolution, changing the screen resolution in one atomic step from the native resolution to the lower resolution in response to the first input signal (Fig. 13A). Depending on what resolution is currently on display, when the toggle hot key is entered, the display resolution is switched to the other resolution display.

With regard to claim 15, Karaki discloses the method of claim 2, wherein programmatically changing the screen resolution in one atomic step from the lower resolution to the native resolution in response to the second input signal comprises: determining if the lower resolution is a second resolution; and if the lower resolution is the second resolution, changing the screen resolution in one atomic step from the lower resolution to the native resolution in response to the second input signal (Fig. 13A). Depending on what resolution is currently on display, when the toggle hot key is entered, the display resolution is switched to the other resolution display.

With regard to claims 17 and 18, the discussion of claim 1 applies. The combination of Karaki discloses both a system and a machine-readable medium having instructions stored thereon for executing the method (Figs. 4 and 7).

With regard to claim 19, Karaki discloses the method of claim 1, wherein programmatically changing the screen resolution in one atomic step from the native resolution to a lower resolution in response to the first input signal comprises programmatically changing the screen resolution without interleaving access by another process from the native resolution to a lower resolution in response to the first input signal (Fig. 13A, hot key sequence);

With regard to claim 20, the discussion of claim 19 applies. The hot key is the only user input needed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 14 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

No found prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests determining difference between three different resolutions and according to comparisons of the two differences, choosing a resolution to switch to.

### FINAL REJECTION

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in the Office Action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### **Contact Information**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wes Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-7427. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wes Tucker

5-24-07

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Moute ( Bella